

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/720,662	11/24/2003	Hong-Mo Moon	038779/271509	6451	
826	7590 01/11/2005		EXAM	INER	
ALSTON & BIRD LLP			LUCAS, ZA	LUCAS, ZACHARIAH	
	MERICA PLAZA				
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1648		

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

´						
	1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
*		10/720,662	MOON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
		Zachariah Lucas	1648			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 No.	ovember 2004.				
2a)□ ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□ \$	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
C	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	I1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4) 🛛 (	Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5,13 and 19-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>6-12 and 14-18</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) [ (8	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicatio	n Papers					
	he specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	• •			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		•			
11)∐ [	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  The priority	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 11-24-03, 6-28-04.	_	Mail Datei rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/720,662 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Currently, claims 1-43 are pending in the application. In the restriction requirement mailed on October 6, 2004, a requirement for restriction was made between claims 6-13 (Group III) and claims 14-19 (Group III) on the grounds that the claims were being read as though the term "transformant" included transgenic animals. However, upon further review of the application, it is noted that only transformants specifically identified by the application are transformed yeast cells. See e.g., pages 11-12. The application does not anywhere indicate that transgenic animals were contemplated as part of the claimed invention. In view of this, the application is deemed not to cover such embodiments. The requirement for restriction is therefore withdrawn to the extent that the claims of Groups II and III are identified as separate groups. Thus, claims 6-19 are now considered to be part of the same Group of inventions.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 13, and 19-43 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on November 4, 2004.

Claims 13 and 19 are withdrawn as to non-elected species of the claimed inventions. This is because these inventions are drawn to compositions comprising unmodified versions of the pre-S sequences.

3. Applicant's election without traverse of Group III, and the inventions represented by the election of the adr subtype, modifications at both positions 15 and 123 of the HBV pre-S

Art Unit: 1648

sequence, and to embodiments wherein the asparaginines at these positions are replaced by histidines in the reply filed on November 4, 2004 is acknowledged.

In view of the withdrawal of the restriction between Groups II and III, claims 6-12 and 14-18 are currently under consideration to the extent that they read on, or are generic to, the elected inventions.

## Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on November 24, 2003, and on June 28, 2004 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements have been considered by the examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6-12 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the teachings of Kniskern et al. (U.S. Patent 5,614,384) in view of Takahashi et al. (Arch Virol 143: 2313-26) and of Essex (U.S. Patent 6103, 238) and O.Narhi et al. (Protein Engineering 14: 135-40). These claims are directed to mutated HBV pre-S genes (and vectors or transformants thereof) wherein the gene has been mutated such that the expressed pre-S protein is not glycosylated. In particular, the claims are directed to mutated forms of the pre-S genes of HBV

Application/Control Number: 10/720,662

Art Unit: 1648

wherein the asparagines at positions 15 and 123 have each been substituted with a histidine such that the residues at those positions are not glycosylated.

Kniskern teaches methods of producing non-N-glycosylated versions of the S antigens of HBV. The reference indicates that such versions of the proteins, especially when recombinantly produced in yeast cells, are preferable because they reduce the chance of the generation of antiyeast antibodies, or of the antigens being bound by anti-yeast antibodies already in an animal to be immunized. Column 3, lines 33-60. The reference teaches that such versions of the HBV antigens result in a more effective anti-HBV immunogenic compositions. Id. While the reference is primarily concerned with achieving the reduced glycosylation through use of particular cells (claim 1), the reference also teaches that an alternative means of achieving this goal is through modification of the glycosylation recognition site. Column 4, lines 6-13. Kniskern teaches that the recognition sites of N-glycosylation comprise the sequences Asn-X-Ser or Asn-X-Thr, wherein the X may be any amino acid. Column 3 lines 7-15. Finally, the reference teaches that these teachings may be applied against any of the S-antigens, including the pre-S1 antigen. Column 3, lines 26-31. However, the reference does not specifically indicate that the asparagine may be substituted with histidine, or provide the specific HBV sequences that may be substituted.

Takahashi teaches the full-length sequences of several Hepatitis B virus isolates. Because the teachings of Kniskern refer to the modification of HBV sequences in general, it would have been apparent that the modifications may be made to the sequence of any isolate of HBV.

Takahashi teaches the sequences of two isolates (represented by Protein Database accession numbers BAA32887 and BAA32860) that match the sequences provided in the present

Art Unit: 1648

application for adr type genotypes (SEQ ID NO: 4, and SEQ ID NO: 11- which varies from SEQ ID NO: 4 at position 60, as well as by including the Asn→His substitutions). Examination of either of these sequences for the N-glycosylation recognition sites shows that the only N-glycosylation sites in the pre-S sequence are those corresponding to residues 15 and 123. Thus, from the teachings of Kniskern and Takahashi, it would have been obvious to those in art to have substituted another amino acid for the asparagine residues of positions 15 and 123.

It is also noted that Kniskern does not teach that the asparagines may be substituted with histidines. However, the teachings of Kniskern indicate that any substitution may be made so long as the recognition sequence is removed. The teachings of O.Narhi, which relate to the modification of EPO such that N-glycosylation does not occur, indicates that additional benefits may be found in the form of additional stability where basic amino acids, which would include lysine and histidine, are substituted for the asparagine. Additionally, the teachings of Essex indicate that substitution of an asparagine for a histidine in an Asn-X-Ser/Thr site results in a lack of N-glycosylation at that site. See e.g., columns 6-7. From these teachings, it would have been obvious to those in the art that any amino acid, including histidine, may be substituted for asparagine to prevent N-glycosylation.

From the combined teachings of the references, it would therefore have been obvious to those in the art that the HBV pre-S sequence may be modified as suggested by Kniskern through the substitution of asparagine for histidine so as to prevent glycosylation of the proteins when expressed in yeast cells. The additional teaching of Takahashi would have rendered obvious the specific sequences of present application. Those of skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in such modifications based on the teachings of Kniskern, and based on

Application/Control Number: 10/720,662 Page 6

Art Unit: 1648

the teachings of O.Narhi and other references (e.g. Marini et al., Molec Microbiol 38: 552-64) demonstrating successful prevention of N-glycosylation in other proteins through substitution of asparagine in recognition sites with another amino acid.

7. Claims 6-12 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the teachings of Comberbach et al. (U.S. Patent 6,103,519) in view of Takahashi and Essex. The claims have been described above.

Comberbach teaches vectors and cells transformed with recombinant molecules encoding the HBV pre-S antigen. Columns 22-23. The reference additionally teaches that the disclosed HBV sequences include proteins modified by the elimination of potential glycosylation sites, including N-glycosylation sites. Column 19, lines 22-31; and column 20-21. In particular, the reference identifies N-glycosylation sites as those "characterized by the sequence Asn-X-(Ser or Thr). Id. The reference indicates that such elimination may be performed by "deleting or replacing" the asparagine or serine or threonine in the N-glycosylation site. The reference does not however teach that the asparagine may be substituted with a histidine or the specific sequence of SEQ ID NO: 11. However, additional teachings rendering these additional limitations obvious are provided in the Takahashi and Essex references as described above. The combined teachings of these references therefore render the claimed inventions obvious.

# Conclusion

8. No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1648

9. The following prior art references are made of record and considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. However, while relevant they are also not used as a basis for rejection for the stated reasons.

Okuda et al., U.S. Pub 2003/0165534. This reference provides teachings relevant to those of the presently claimed invention in that it teaches the modification of N-glycosylation sites in antigens expressed in transformants. However, the reference does not teach that the antigen is the pre-S protein of HBV, or the particular HBV sequences to be modified.

Petre et al., Postgrad Med J 63: 73-81. This reference teaches that yeast cells may be used to recombinantly produce HBV antigens for use in anti-HBV vaccines.

Shouval et al., Vaccine 12: 1021-25. This reference provides additional teachings indicating that inclusion of the pre-S domains in HBV vaccines improves the efficacy of HBV S-protein vaccines.

Biemans et al., DNA Cell Biol 10: 191-200. This reference teaches post-translation modification of HBV proteins expressed in yeast cells.

Kniskern et al., Vaccine 12:1021-25. This reference suggests the production of HBV particles in yeast cells deficient in their ability to glycosylate the proteins, and indicates that there are N-glycosylation sites in both the pre-S1 and pre-S2 regions of the HBV pre-S antigen. Page 1021, right column. The reference is considered redundant to the teachings of the Kniskern patent applied above.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachariah Lucas whose telephone number is 571-272-0905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,662

Art Unit: 1648

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2. Lucas

Patent Examiner

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600**